

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/666,948	09/19/2003	Stephen J. Smith	174/161 Cont 7049	
36981	7590 05/13/2009		EXAMINER	
FISH & NE ROPES & G	EAVE IP GROUP	SURYAWANSHI, SURESH		
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS FL C3 NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2115	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary		Part of Paper No./Mail Date 3			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) PTO/SB/08) 5)	Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [  Notice of Informal  Other:				
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certifie	d copies not receiv	ved.			
application from the Internatio	•		Too tillo Mational Otago			
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>		• •	······································			
1. Certified copies of the priority			ation No.			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note	tne attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•	• ,	•			
Applicant may not request that any object		-				
	a)□ accepted or b)□	objected to by the	e Examiner.			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Application Papers						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election req	uirement.	•			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-33</u> is/are objected to.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,15-18 and 28</u> is/are reje	ected.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	o maigramii noni cons	idol adoll.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,15-18 and 28-33</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a			•			
·	manalina in the					
Disposition of Claims						
closed in accordance with the practi			•			
· <u> </u>	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
_	nd on 4/5/05 response					
Status						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, nunication.  O) days, a reply within the statutor atutory period will apply and will ewill, by statute, cause the applica	ry minimum of thirty (30) da xpire SIX (6) MONTHS frontion to become ABANDON	ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F		EXPIRE 3 MONTH	H(S) FROM			
Period for Reply			oon ooponisinoo saan ooo			
The MAILING DATE of this commun	Suresh K. Si		2115			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	10/666,948		SMITH ET AL.			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-4, 15-18 and 28-33 are presented for examination.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kimura et al (US Patent No 5,537,601).
- 4. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kodosky et al (US Patent No 6,219,628).
- 5. Claims 29-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim 28, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,948

Art Unit: 2115

The rejections are respectfully maintained and incorporated by reference as set forth in 6. the last office action.

Page 3

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 4/5/2005 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.
- 8. In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that (1) Kimura does not show or suggest implementing the CPU on the DSP or PL part; (2) Kimura does not show or suggest swapping configuration data between the external memory and the programmable logic part; (3) Kodosky does not show or suggest that the computer-implemented system autonomously determines, during run-time, whether to use a hardware implementation or a software implementation.
- 9. As to point (1), Kimura clearly shows or suggests implementing a CPU on a programmable logic resource as shown in figures 35, 39, 40. Plus, claims 1, 25, 34 and 45 clearly show and suggest a processor that is implemented on a programmable logic.
- 10. As to point (2), Kimura shows or suggests swapping configuration data between the external memory and the programmable logic part as shown in figure 24 [PL1 reading and writing data to FM1 or FM2 or FM3 as shown by arrows]. Plus, claims 1, 25, 34 and 45 clearly show and suggest that the processor and the programmable logic each connected for input and output of data with the system.

11. As to point (3), language of claim 28 nowhere reads or claims that the system autonomously determines whether to use a hardware implementation or a software implementation [emphasis added]. Therefore, Kodosky anticipates the claim 28 as Kodosky discloses a computer implemented system and method for automatically creating hardware implementations of portions of a graphical program as recommended by user during the graphical program execution [col. 4, lines 10-40; col. 9, lines 30-45; col. 13, lines 20-23].

## Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suresh K. Suryawanshi whose telephone number is 571-272-3668. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,948 Page 5

Art Unit: 2115

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sks May 3, 2005

Mund